# metal-organic compounds

Acta Crystallographica Section C Crystal Structure Communications

ISSN 0108-2701

# $[Ba_6(C_2H_3O_2)_{12}(H_2O)_{3.5}]$ , a new hydrate of barium acetate

# Gabriela Leyva,<sup>a</sup> Griselda Polla,<sup>a</sup> María Teresa Garland<sup>b</sup> and Ricardo Baggio<sup>a</sup>\*

<sup>a</sup>Departamento de Física, Comisión Nacional de Energía Atómica, Buenos Aires, Argentina, and <sup>b</sup>Departamento de Física, Facultad de Ciencias Físicas y Matemáticas and CIMAT, Universidad de Chile, Santiago, Chile Correspondence e-mail: baggio@cnea.gov.ar

Received 23 August 2007 Accepted 2 October 2007 Online 24 October 2007

A new barium acetate phase, di- $\mu_5$ -acetato-tri- $\mu_4$ -acetato-tri- $\mu_3$ -acetato-tri- $\mu_2$ -acetato- $\mu_2$ -acetato-triaquahemiaquahexabarium(II), of analytical formula [Ba<sub>6</sub>(C<sub>2</sub>H<sub>3</sub>O<sub>2</sub>)<sub>12</sub>(H<sub>2</sub>O)<sub>3.5</sub>], is described. The asymmetric unit contains six independent Ba centres with coordination numbers varying from 7 to 10 arising from bonding to 12 crystallographically independent acetate ligands and four molecules of water, one of which is disordered over two sites both of occupancy 0.5. Bonding to the acetate ligands creates a completely connected three-dimensional structure. All H atoms of the water molecules participate in hydrogen bonding.

# Comment

The title compound, (I), is the serendipitous product of unsuccessful attempts to replicate the reportedly simple and reproducible preparation of barium acetate trihydrate, Ba( $C_2H_3O_2$ )<sub>2</sub>·3H<sub>2</sub>O (Maneva & Nikolova, 1988), which has been characterized by an IR vibrational study. The structure of (I) can be compared with those of the monohydrate, Ba( $C_2H_3O_2$ )<sub>2</sub>·H<sub>2</sub>O, (II) (Groombridge *et al.* 1985), and the anhydrous barium acetate, Ba( $C_2H_3O_2$ )<sub>2</sub>, (III) (Gautier-Luneau & Mosset, 1988).

The complex asymmetric unit of (I) is shown in Fig. 1; the 12 independent acetate ligands are distiguished from one another by suffixes A-L. Table 1 displays relevant data concerning the



#### Figure 1

A molecular diagram of (I), showing the atom-numbering scheme and the complex interconnection network. Independent atoms are drawn as full displacement ellipsoids at the 25% probability level and symmetry-related atoms as open ellipsoids. H atoms are shown as small spheres of arbitrary radii. The 12 independent acetate ligands are indicated by the suffixes A-L. [Symmetry codes: (i)  $-x + \frac{1}{2}$ ,  $y + \frac{1}{2}$ ,  $-z + \frac{1}{2}$ ; (ii)  $x + \frac{1}{2}$ ,  $-y + \frac{1}{2}$ ,  $z + \frac{1}{2}$ ; (iii)  $x - \frac{1}{2}$ ,  $-y + \frac{1}{2}$ ,  $z - \frac{1}{2}$ ; (iv)  $-x + \frac{1}{2}$ ,  $y - \frac{1}{2}$ ,  $-z + \frac{1}{2}$ ; (v) -x + 1, -y, -z.]

different Ba coordination polyhedra for crystallographically independent atoms Ba1–Ba6 in (I), along with similar data for (II) and (III). For a complete survey in the case of (I), see the supplementary material. The bond distances given in Table 1 show no significant differences (on average) between the three known structures. The structures differ, however, in the number of independent Ba atoms and, especially for (I), in the diversity of coordination numbers observed. In (I), the coordination number for Ba1 (8 or 9, average 8.5) arises because of



#### Figure 2

Ribbon propagation in (I) in the [101] direction. Heavy lines indicate the asymmetric unit and thin lines indicate symmetry-related units. All H atoms have been omitted for clarity. [Symmetry codes: (i)  $-x + \frac{1}{2}$ ,  $y - \frac{1}{2}$ ,  $-z + \frac{1}{2}$ ; (ii) x + 1, y, z + 1.]



#### Figure 3

A view of the structure of (I) down the [101] direction, showing the channels formed by the packing of the ribbons of Fig. 2. All H atoms have been omitted for clarity.

the disorder of a coordinating water molecule (O4W) over two sites related by a crystallographic centre of symmetry at  $(\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2})$ and therefore bonded to one or other (but not both simultaneously) of a pair of similarly symmetry-related Ba1 atoms.

In (I), the summation of the coordination numbers given in Table 1 shows the presence of 53.5 Ba-O bonds. Of these, three and a half involve coordinating water molecules and the remainder are bonds to acetate ligands. All of the acetate ligands, with the sole exception of ligand I, chelate Ba centres as bidentate ligands with bite angles in the range 43.06(11)- $46.14 (12)^{\circ}$  [mean  $44.8 (10)^{\circ}$ ] and at rather long Ba-O distances [mean 2.83 (10) Å]. The bite angles contribute, to some extent, to the somewhat irregular coordination of the Ba cations. This leaves 27 Ba-O<sub>acetate</sub> bonds to create connectivity between different Ba centres. As a consequence, the connectivity of each acetate ligand ranges from a maximum of five different Ba cations (for acetate J) to a minimum of two (for acetates A, G and K). This results in complete threedimensional connectivity between the Ba centres, which are then separated by Ba $\cdot \cdot \cdot$ Ba distances in the range 4.330 (2)-4.724 (2) Å. Fundamental to the three-dimensional connectivity are ribbons of  $BaO_n$  polyhedra propagating in the [101] direction and whose width is in the [010] direction (Fig. 2). As shown in Fig. 3, these ribbons are interconnected in the [101]direction but, in terms of the number of Ba-O bonds, with weaker connectivity than is present in the ribbons themselves. Clearly seen in Fig. 3 are the channels containing hydrophobic methyl groups running through the structure created by the packing of the ribbons.

As shown in Table 2, all of the H atoms of the water molecules participate in hydrogen-bond formation. This also contributes to the three-dimensional connectivity of the structure of (I).

#### **Experimental**

Crystals of (I) were obtained by the low-temperature recrystallization from aqueous solution of the as-purchased monohydrated salt at 268 K. After leaving the saturated solution unattended in the refrigerator for about 6 months, very large colourless blocks were observed, which proved to correspond to a previously unknown hydrate of barium acetate. Thermogravimetric analysis suggested a degree of hydration of about 0.6 H<sub>2</sub>O per Ba, a figure later confirmed by the structural analysis, which gave a more precise value of 0.583 H<sub>2</sub>O per Ba.

Crystal data

 $\begin{bmatrix} \text{Ba}_6(\text{C}_2\text{H}_3\text{O}_2)_{12}(\text{H}_2\text{O})_{3.5} \end{bmatrix} & V = 4551.6 \text{ (19) } \text{\AA}^3 \\ M_r = 1595.62 & Z = 4 \\ \text{Monoclinic, } P_{2_1}/n & \text{Mo } K\alpha \text{ radiation} \\ a = 14.040 \text{ (3) } \text{\AA} & \mu = 5.19 \text{ mm}^{-1} \\ b = 19.903 \text{ (4) } \text{\AA} & T = 294 \text{ (2) K} \\ c = 17.721 \text{ (4) } \text{\AA} & 0.36 \times 0.24 \times 0.20 \text{ mm} \\ \beta = 113.19 \text{ (3)}^{\circ} \\ \end{bmatrix}$ 

## Data collection

- Bruker SMART CCD area-detector diffractometer Absorption correction: multi-scan
- (SADABS; Sheldrick, 2001) $T_{min} = 0.24, T_{max} = 0.36$

38138 measured reflections 10282 independent reflections 8906 reflections with  $I > 2\sigma(I)$  $R_{int} = 0.042$ 

Acta Cryst. (2007). C63, m534-m536

Refinement

$R[F^2 > 2\sigma(F^2)] = 0.040$	H atoms treated by a mixture of
$wR(F^2) = 0.087$	independent and constrained
S = 1.11	refinement
10282 reflections	$\Delta \rho_{\rm max} = 1.21 \text{ e } \text{\AA}^{-3}$
559 parameters	$\Delta \rho_{\rm min} = -0.79 \ {\rm e} \ {\rm \AA}^{-3}$
13 restraints	

## Table 1

Comparison of selected structural parameters for the Ba coordination environments in compounds (I), (II) and (III).

Bond valences were calculated according to the method of Brown & Altermatt (1985).

Compound	Cation (coordination number)	Bond valence	Average Ba-O (Å)	Range of Ba-O (Å)
$(I)^a$	Ba1 (8 and 9)	1.98 and 2.16	2.81 (8) and 2.82 (8)	2.695 (4)-2.944 (4)
	Ba2 (9)	2.24	2.81 (7)	2.692 (4)-2.891 (4)
	Ba3 (9)	2.09	2.84 (10)	2.686 (4)-2.962 (4)
	Ba4 (10)	2.20	2.85 (11)	2.671 (4)-3.075 (4)
	Ba5 (10)	2.03	2.90 (10)	2.715 (4)-3.035 (4)
	Ba6 (7)	2.19	2.72 (5)	2.651 (4)-2.800 (4)
$(II)^b$	Ba1 (8)	2.01	2.81 (7)	2.691-2.927
$(III)^c$	Ba1 (8)	2.22	2.81 (7)	2.641-3.083
	Ba2 (9)	2.10	2.72 (5)	2.711-2.927

References: (a) this work; (b) Groombridge et al. (1985); (c) Gautier-Luneau & Mosset (1988).

#### Table 2

Hydrogen-bond geometry (Å, °).

$D-\mathrm{H}\cdots A$	D-H	$H \cdot \cdot \cdot A$	$D \cdots A$	$D - \mathbf{H} \cdots A$
$O1W-H1WA\cdots O2A^{i}$	0.85 (4)	1.88 (4)	2.728 (6)	173 (8)
$O1W - H1WB \cdot \cdot \cdot O1K^{ii}$	0.85 (4)	1.95 (4)	2.731 (6)	153 (7)
$O2W - H2WA \cdots O2B^{iii}$	0.85 (4)	2.26 (4)	3.015 (6)	149 (6)
$O2W - H2WB \cdot \cdot \cdot O2I^{iii}$	0.85 (4)	1.93 (4)	2.740 (7)	158 (8)
$O3W-H3WA\cdots O1G$	0.85 (4)	1.91 (4)	2.746 (6)	170 (7)
$O3W-H3WB\cdots O1I^{iv}$	0.85 (4)	1.91 (4)	2.702 (7)	155 (6)
$O4W-H4WA\cdots O1E^{iv}$	0.85 (4)	2.05 (5)	2.885 (19)	166 (15)
$O4W-H4WB\cdots O1B^{ii}$	0.85 (4)	2.33 (7)	3.130 (17)	157 (18)

Symmetry codes: (i)  $-x + \frac{3}{2}$ ,  $y - \frac{1}{2}$ ,  $-z + \frac{1}{2}$ ; (ii)  $x + \frac{1}{2}$ ,  $-y + \frac{1}{2}$ ,  $z + \frac{1}{2}$ ; (iii) -x + 1, -y, -z; (iv)  $-x + \frac{1}{2}$ ,  $y + \frac{1}{2}$ ,  $-z + \frac{1}{2}$ .

Methyl H atoms were placed in calculated positions, with C-H = 0.96 Å, and refined using a riding model, while the torsion angles of the methyl groups were also refined. Water H atoms were located in difference maps and their coordinates refined with restrained O-H distances of 0.85 (1) Å and H···H distances of 1.40 (2) Å. In all cases,  $U_{\rm iso}({\rm H}) = 1.5U_{\rm eq}({\rm C,O}).$ 

Data collection: *SMART-NT* (Bruker, 2001); cell refinement: *SAINT-NT* (Bruker, 2001); data reduction: *SAINT-NT*; program(s) used to solve structure: *SHELXS97* (Sheldrick, 1997); program(s) used to refine structure: *SHELXL97* (Sheldrick, 1997); molecular graphics: *SHELXTL-NT* (Bruker, 2001); software used to prepare material for publication: *SHELXTL-NT* and *PLATON* (Spek, 2003).

The authors acknowledge the Spanish Research Council (CSIC) for providing a free-of-charge licence for the Cambridge Structural Database (Allen, 2002), and Professor Donka Stoilova for having introduced us to the  $Ba(C_2H_3O_2)_2$ ·3H<sub>2</sub>O problem, from which the present work is derived.

Supplementary data for this paper are available from the IUCr electronic archives (Reference: BM3038). Services for accessing these data are described at the back of the journal.

#### References

Allen, F. H. (2002). Acta Cryst. B58, 380-388.

Brown, I. D. & Altermatt, D. (1985). Acta Cryst. B41, 244-247.

- Bruker (2001). SMART-NT (Version 5.624), SAINT-NT (Version 6.04) and SHELXTL-NT (Version 6.10). Bruker AXS Inc., Madison, Wisconsin, USA.
- Gautier-Luneau, I. & Mosset, A. (1988). J. Solid State Chem. 73, 473-479.
- Groombridge, C. J., Harris, R. K., Packer, K. J., Hursthouse, M. B. & Walker, N. P. C. (1985). J. Solid State Chem. 59, 306–316.
- Maneva, M. & Nikolova, D. (1988). J. Therm. Anal. 34, 637-644.
- Sheldrick, G. M. (1997). SHELXS97 and SHELXL97. University of Göttingen, Germany.
- Sheldrick, G. M. (2001). SADABS. Version 2.05. University of Göttingen, Germany.
- Spek, A. L. (2003). J. Appl. Cryst. 36, 7-13.